

Business plan. A Virginia vision taking shape.

SHARE EDITION / OCTOBER 9, 2026

A dated update before you read.

This copy contains the original 26-page illustrated plan dated October 3, 2026, preceded by this status update. The plan's original page numbers and financial assumptions are preserved.

LICENSING UPDATE

CCA reports: "No licenses have been awarded." This reply was supplied by the owner on October 9 in the continuing adult-use licensing inquiry. The second reply's email header and send time were not supplied; the mailbox was not independently accessed.

Tier V reserved or committed slots, preliminary approvals, eligibility for ordinary new businesses, available license count and application window remain unresolved. This reply does not establish that a Tier V slot is available to Battlefield Organics.

THE PROPOSED BUSINESS

A Virginia cultivation and wholesale venture, with an owner target of up to 35,000 sq ft flowering canopy and approximately 35,000 sq ft connected support space. Final gross area, licensing, site selection, financing and operating readiness remain conditional.

HOW TO READ THE FORECASTS

Financial figures, property comparisons and schedules retain their October 3 research and model dates. They have not been refreshed for this share edition. They are planning cases, not quotes, commitments or proven performance. No operating license, approved property, buyer contract or organic certification is claimed.

Correspondence source: owner-supplied CCA replies, October 9, 2026. Current public licensing announcements: cca.virginia.gov. The added sheet supplements the original dated research; it does not replace adopted requirements or applicant-specific confirmation.

A VIRGINIA BUSINESS IN FORMATION

Battlefield Organics

ILLUSTRATED BUSINESS PLAN

A proposed licensed cultivation and wholesale company.
Built around identity, quality and disciplined investment.



35,000

sq ft flowering canopy target

+ 35,000

sq ft connected support concept

Concept illustration only. No parcel selected, approved design or license award.

A business worth proving.

The proposal is a focused Virginia wholesale company with a distinctive brand and a campus designed around documented quality.

Battlefield Organics aims to supply eligible Virginia licensees with compliant wholesale flower, clear batch records and dependable commercial service. The opportunity is to become a trusted supplier that makes purchasing easier: agreed specifications, traceable inventory, independent release evidence and honest delivery commitments.

35,000

SQ FT FLOWERING CANOPY TARGET

70,000

NOMINAL TOTAL FACILITY SQ FT

\$7.23M

BASE ANNUAL SALES FORECAST

\$21.22M

BASE HORIZON FUNDING ESTIMATE

THE INVESTMENT THESIS

Create a recognizable Virginia supplier, build quality into records and release decisions, and commit capital only after licensing, land, demand and complete costs align.

Targets and forecasts are conditional. The \$1M owner land ceiling is separate from startup funding. Figures use the existing base greenhouse model; no investor commitments, customers or operating authority are represented.

From vision to a viable company.

This plan brings the sales story and the investment decision into one document.

Part	What it answers	Pages
Opportunity and brand	Why this company; what buyers would value; how demand is validated.	4-7
Facility and location	Connected campus, room program, alternatives, land priorities and site constraints.	8-12, 14
Quality and people	Soil philosophy, batch accountability, release controls and proposed organization.	13, 15-16
Capital and economics	One-time budget, annual cash, funding timeline, scenarios and critical assumptions.	17-20
Execution and partnership	Conditional milestones, spending gates, next 90 days and partner proposition.	21-24
Evidence	Sources, model limits, illustration credits and generation prompts.	25-26

The status behind the story

Confirmed framework: statutory Tier V canopy ceiling and CCA public milestones. **Owner direction:** 35,000 canopy plus connected 35,000 support, July 2027 land target and \$1M land ceiling. **Planning assumptions:** prices, output, wages, engineering costs and launch forecast. **Unresolved:** applicant eligibility/award, local permission, site fit, funding, actual buyers and qualified management.

BP02 is a presentation companion to BP01 Version 4 and the controlled project library. It does not replace the editable financial or land models. All current property alternatives remain HOLD.

An opening market. A deliberate entry.

Virginia provides the regulatory context. Battlefield Organics must still earn its own right to operate.

CCA publishes a path toward a regulated retail market opening July 1, 2027. That creates a business-development window for a proposed Virginia supplier. The plan does not assume a license is automatic, that demand will exceed supply, or that Battlefield Organics will open with the first retailers.

SEP 2026	DEC 2026	JAN 2027	JUL 2027
Draft regulations	Finalization	Publication / effectiveness	Retail market opening
Agency milestone	Published CCA target	Published CCA target	Separate from our opening

A license-specific business case

The statutory Tier V ceiling is 35,000 square feet of indoor flowering canopy. Qualifying secure agricultural greenhouses fall within the indoor framework. Applicant windows, available awards, premises conditions, fees and local cannabis-use interpretation need specific confirmation. Buying industrial land alone cannot satisfy those conditions.

WHY THIS MATTERS COMMERCIALY

Build the buyer and site case while the rules develop. Secure eligibility, counterparties and complete costs before treating the concept as a financeable operating business.

Sources: CCA retail-market timeline; Virginia Code section 4.1-800. Rechecked October 3, 2026. Agency targets and enacted provisions are distinct from an applicant award and adopted operational details.

Make the buyer's job easier.

Proposed primary line: compliant wholesale flower for eligible Virginia counterparties, within the issued license privileges.

01 Know what you are buying.

Define grade, product form, weight, packaging and release evidence before quoting. Give procurement teams usable specifications rather than vague claims.

02 Know where it came from.

Connect approved inputs, internal records, released batches, inventory and dispatch. Traceability should support verification, complaints and recall response.

03 Know what will arrive.

Confirm availability against released stock. Align shipment, documents, invoice and agreed delivery terms; treat collection as part of the transaction.

04 Know who is accountable.

Separate sales commitments, compliance release and finance credit decisions. A paid order cannot override a quality or legal hold.

These are proposed service commitments and controls, not existing performance claims. No buyer orders, contracts or product-quality results have been obtained. No consumer or interstate sales are included.

Sell a specification. Build a relationship.

The commercial program begins with buyer evidence and ends with collected cash.

Customer group	Proposed offer	Evidence to establish
Licensed retailers	Wholesale flower with agreed grade and batch documentation.	License scope; actual demand, grade, price, vendor requirements and credit.
Licensed processors	Eligible wholesale material aligned to buyer specifications.	Transfer privileges, accepted product form, net price and rejection/return terms.
Other eligible licensees	Permitted transfers only; future immature plants or seeds may be investigated.	Activity privileges and actual demand. No additional-line revenue is modeled.

Proposed route to a first order

Qualify the licensed buyer and purchasing scope. **Specify** product and commercial terms. **Validate** demand against the forecast and product ramp. **Agree** conditional supply terms through legal review. **Release** only eligible batches. **Reconcile** shipment, invoice, payment and any dispute.

COMMERCIAL DIFFERENTIATION

Position Battlefield Organics around a clear Virginia identity, documented product quality and responsive wholesale service. Each claim must be supported by records before it reaches a customer.

Working brand and domain are provisional pending entity-name, trademark and domain review. Organic certification, medical claims, buyer endorsements and premium pricing are not asserted.

An order book starts with evidence.

The current sales forecast is an assumption case. This is the proposed program to turn it into a credible commercial plan.

10-15

QUALIFIED BUYER INTERVIEWS

Proposed diligence target; outreach has not started.

2+

INDEPENDENT WRITTEN INDICATIONS

Proposed target, with conditions and expiry recorded.

Learn	Retain	Use in the model
Product and grade	Written specification, required testing/packaging and buyer qualification.	Grade mix, acceptance and price.
Volume and timing	Monthly demand, opening date and purchasing cadence.	Ramp, sell-through and inventory aging.
Price and deductions	Net price by unit, discounts, returns and delivery responsibilities.	Realized revenue and service costs.
Payment and risk	Credit evidence, terms, disputes and collection history where available.	Cash lag, loss assumptions and exposure.

Before construction approval, compare independently documented buyer demand with the full output case, expected collections and downside funding. Multiple nonbinding expressions of interest do not become committed demand merely because they fit the forecast.

Internal targets from MS01. No outreach, buyer interviews, indications or contracts are represented as completed. Actual activity requires owner authorization and legal counterparty checks.

Two connected buildings. One accountable flow.

A secure cultivation concept linked to dedicated support functions.




AI-generated campus concept. No selected parcel, approved plan or demonstrated equal building footprints.

Owner concept	Target area	Purpose
Flowering canopy	Up to 35,000 sq ft	Measured licensed canopy; gross building area must be reconciled.
Connected support	35,000 sq ft	Nonflowering plants, post-harvest, QA, storage, staff and building services.
Nominal total	70,000 sq ft	Planning case. Full canopy may require additional gross area and cost.

Support space that earns its footprint.

A draft 35,000 sq ft allocation makes the second building a defined business function.



SP01 draft program; bars use a 10,000 sq ft scale. Not a floor plan, capacity study or approved allocation. Internal QA/sample staging is separate from required independent licensed compliance testing.

Design the flow before the walls.

A functional diagram for architect and operations review; relationships are illustrative.



Design reviews must establish controlled access, segregation, building-system zones, equipment clearances, fire/egress, accessible staff facilities and the connection between buildings. The diagram is a way to brief those reviews, not evidence that this flow fits a real parcel.

KEEP TESTING INDEPENDENT

Use an eligible independent licensed laboratory for compliance testing. The support program contains internal QA and sample staging; an owned accredited testing business or testing-service revenue is not part of this plan.

Sources: FD01/SP01; Virginia Code sections 4.1-806 and 4.1-807. Room sizes and adjacency remain proposed and require professional review.

Choose the scope that can be financed.

Base assumptions compare conditional designs; capital below excludes full startup working-cash needs.

Design / method	Canopy / total sq ft	One-time capital	Annual cash
Small greenhouse	5,000 / 12,000	\$3.53M	(\$0.20M)
Small indoor	5,000 / 12,000	\$4.29M	(\$0.48M)
Target greenhouse	35,000 / 70,000	\$17.05M	\$0.94M
Target indoor	35,000 / 70,000	\$22.36M	(\$0.91M)
Larger total greenhouse	35,000 / 95,000	\$22.00M	\$0.73M
Larger total indoor	35,000 / 95,000	\$27.31M	(\$1.13M)

A smaller phase still needs a business case


The small greenhouse comparison reduces initial capital but loses operating cash under the same base assumptions. A partial initial module may be worth studying if permitted, but fixed site, utility, security and staff costs cannot simply be scaled by canopy percentage.

The greenhouse target is the current planning basis, not a completed method selection. The 95,000 sq ft total option adds support space without increasing assumed canopy and reduces base cash. Final selection needs same-scope engineering, price and demand evidence.

FM01 Comparison, cached base outputs; annual after-tax operating cash before debt and capital return. All costs/output are assumptions. The 70,000 total remains nominal pending gross/canopy reconciliation.

Buy the right operating site.

A good land price is valuable only when the complete campus can legally and physically operate.



01 Investigate the existing owner land first.

Owner reports approximately 45 acres of A1 land at 20575 Zachary Taylor Hwy, Culpeper. Obtain deed/tax IDs, plat, correct jurisdiction and the actual prior county determination before assuming another purchase is necessary.

02 Prioritize permission, pad and services.

Obtain cannabis-specific use interpretation, net contiguous buildable ground, lawful access and water/power capacity. Creek or river proximity does not establish usable supply.

03 Protect the July 2027 land target.

Use counsel-reviewed conditional site control where feasible, with meaningful study and financing conditions. The owner land ceiling is \$1M; startup funding is a separate decision.

A compelling philosophy. A measured system.

Living soil and organic inputs can shape the identity; evidence must govern the claims.



AI-generated soil concept; not a sample result, production method or certification.

General soil-health research emphasizes biological activity and material variability. Compost can vary in nutrients, salts and stability; earthworms alone do not establish an entire cultivation system. The business proposal is to verify inputs, retain lot identity and use qualified interpretation rather than sell an unproven recipe.

Proposed control	Business value
Supplier and lot records	Trace materials and evaluate changes.
Appropriate independent analysis	Characterize the actual matrix and input quality.
Change control and trend review	Investigate variation before it becomes a repeated loss.

Sources: NRCS, NC State, UMN and Penn State general soil/compost guidance; SR01. Project acceptance criteria and technical methods remain unvalidated. No organic certification or yield promise is made.

Eight alternatives. Every one on HOLD.

Prices are dated public advertisements, not seller terms. Driving estimates are from central Culpeper to the area, not verified entrances.

Site	Price / acres	Area route	Key unresolved condition
Owner land - Culpeper	Owned, owner report ~45 acres	Entrance U	Parcel and written cannabis-use route; utilities and net pad.
J01 Johnson Rd, Louisa 30-26A	\$230,000 5.86 acres	36.4 mi 57 min	IND does not itself clear cannabis; full service/pad review.
J02 1 Johnson Rd, Louisa 30-25A	\$270,000 6.88 acres	Same vicinity	Shared GIS vertices; recorded adjacency/common-control terms U.
S01 Sommerfield Lot 2 52/13/2	Price unknown 5.089 acres	40.6 mi 62 min	IND; actual utility capacity, availability and price U.
S02 Sommerfield Lot 1 52/13/1	Price unknown 11.812 acres	Same park	Larger parcel; marketed services not capacity commitments.
P01 Spotsylvania I-95 36-A-43J	\$465,000 5.0 gross acres	39.6 mi 61 min	Only 2.55 usable acres advertised; full fit and listing freshness U.
A01 Crimora 04962A	\$325,000 7.79 acres	68.8 mi 101 min	Mostly agricultural / small GB; river, flood and as-is well/septic U.
A02 Fishersville MLS 649994	\$649,900 9.68 acres	74 mi 92 min	Parcel ID and GB interpretation U; center creek/net pad and services.

Site names link to source advertisements. LP01/LS01 dated October 3, 2026; routes are nontraffic area estimates. U = unresolved. Johnson combined asking arithmetic is \$500,000 / 12.74 gross acres, not a confirmed package or merged buildable site.

People turn a facility into a business.

34 full-time-equivalent roles are budgeted in the target case. They are proposed positions, not hires.

Function	FTE	Annual base pay
Cultivation / general management	2	\$200,000
Compliance	1	\$70,000
Technicians	20	\$900,000
Post-harvest / quality	8	\$360,000
Administration / sales	2	\$120,000
Maintenance	1	\$65,000
Total base payroll	34	\$1,715,000
Employer burden (25% allowance)	-	\$428,750
Total loaded payroll	-	\$2,143,750

Define authority before hiring volume

Appoint qualified accountable leadership; resolve the entity, ownership and license requirements with counsel. Compliance controls holds and release. Finance approves spending and credit. Sales sets commitments against released availability. Operations owns trained execution and records.

FM01 Staffing and MG01. Compensation and 25% burden are unquoted allowances. No leadership credentials, employment commitments or independent wage survey are represented.

Consistency has a paper trail.

The draft operating library already defines accountability across the future business.

20

DRAFT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

20

RECORD / FORM SPECIFICATIONS

01 Control what enters.

Receiving, supplier evidence, personnel training, sanitation and equipment records establish identity and accountable conditions.

02 Control what changes.

Use change authorization and batch timestamps. Preserve sample identity and testing disposition; investigate deviations and retain original evidence.

03 Control what leaves.

Require documented release, eligible buyers and inventory/shipment reconciliation. Plan complaints, recalls, waste, incidents and continuity.


04 Review results over time.

Proposed weekly, monthly, quarterly and annual reviews connect quality trends, inventory, collections and cost. Stability-study checkpoints are proposals, not proven shelf life.

SO01/RF01/QP01 are drafts, with seven quality work items. Technical annexes, adopted rules, site details, professional validation and training are required before operational approval. No production recipe is supplied.

The campus is the major investment.

Base greenhouse capital and one-time budget: \$17,048,900. Construction subtotal including design and contingency: \$16,632,000.



QUOTE THE COMPLETE SCOPE

The model uses generic allowances. Specialist support-room fit-out, full support loads and any added gross flowering area need reconciliation; contingency does not make an incomplete scope complete.

FM01 Capital; grouping ties to the workbook. Bars use an \$8M scale. Land input is \$230,000, not a parcel selection; actual application/license fees are unresolved. Every component requires actual scope/quote validation.

Revenue must become collected cash.

Illustrative steady-state base year, before debt service and capital return. Values below reconcile to the workbook.

Gross invoiced sales	\$7.23M
Credit-loss allowance	(\$0.14M)
Operating cash costs	(\$5.25M)
Federal + Virginia tax reserve	(\$0.89M)
After-tax operating cash	\$0.94M

\$811 / lb

AFTER-TAX CASH BREAK-EVEN PRICE

\$1,000 / lb

BASE ASSUMED SOLD-POUND PRICE

The base case assumes approximately 7,229 sold pounds per year, 2% credit loss and 34 budgeted FTE. Payroll and power alone total about \$3.10M annually. Prices, volume, sell-through, costs and taxes require actual evidence before this operating cash can support a capital decision.

FM01 Sensitivity/Comparison; exact bridge: \$7,229,250 less \$144,585, \$5,251,645 and \$890,912 = \$942,108 (rounded). Simplified tax reserve, not a tax return. Break-even excludes debt and capital recovery.

Fund the whole path to operation.

Base forecast: October 2026 through September 2030. This chart shows the unfinanced cumulative deficit, not a funded bank balance.



Funding component	Base planning amount
Peak unfinanced cash deficit	\$18,481,069
Working-cash reserve	\$2,735,823
Total horizon funding need	\$21,216,892

The reserve is six months of the maximum modeled full operating cash cost. Committed equity is unresolved and debt defaults to zero. Deficits identify missing financing; continued losses, delay or additional scope beyond the forecast horizon can increase the requirement.

FM01 Monthly/Summary. Peak occurs February 2029 in the base case. The land purchase target is July 2027; first modeled production is November 2028. No terminal sale, refinance, grant or committed funding is assumed.

The downside deserves its own headline.

Joint cases vary price, output, construction and delay together. They are tests, not assigned probabilities.

Downside		\$650/lb assumed
Annual after-tax cash	Horizon funding incl. reserve	
(\$2.82M)	\$28.49M	
Base		\$1,000/lb assumed
Annual after-tax cash	Horizon funding incl. reserve	
\$0.94M	\$21.22M	
Upside		\$1,400/lb assumed
Annual after-tax cash	Horizon funding incl. reserve	
\$6.71M	\$19.73M	

The downside needs about \$28.49M through the modeled horizon and still loses about \$2.82M per steady-state year. Its first production moves to May 2029. The upside assumes favorable economics and construction; it is not validated demand or achievable operating performance.

WHAT UNLOCKS THE INVESTMENT

Confirm net buyer pricing, achievable output and sell-through; quote the entire facility; validate labor and service capacity; fund the downside or reduce/revise the scope before construction.

FR01 / FM01 case tests. Funding is peak deficit plus reserve over the same 48-month window; it is not one-time capital. Upside cash generation reduces funding need. No probability, investor return or valuation is calculated.

A credible pitch knows when to stop.

Each gate asks for actual evidence before the next irreversible commitment.

Gate	Required evidence	Hold / revise if
License	Applicant-specific eligibility, available route and acceptable premises/site control.	No viable award route or unmet conditions.
Local land use	Written cannabis-specific interpretation and achievable permit path.	Prohibited use, unsuitable restrictions or impractical process.
Physical site	Contiguous net pad, access, title, environmental review, capacity and full layout.	Flood/wetlands, insufficient services or campus does not fit.
Demand	Independent grade/volume/net-price/terms evidence matched to ramp.	No credible absorption or collection case.
Complete budget	Same-scope bids including support fit-out and gross canopy reconciliation.	Scope gaps or costs exceed available funding.
Funding and readiness	Available cash, downside capacity, qualified team and compliant commissioning.	Unfunded reserve, unacceptable losses or unresolved release controls.

The \$1M land limit is not the go/no-go test for the business. The full project must satisfy its permissions, physical capacity, customer economics and financing together. A failed gate can justify abandoning a parcel, changing the facility or stopping development.

ST01/FP01/AC01. All current parcel alternatives remain HOLD. Base operating cash and a low advertised land price do not establish acquisition or construction approval.

July 2027 is the land target.

The business opening is a separate conditional milestone; the existing base model begins production in November 2028.

OCT-DEC 2026

Prove the brief

Confirm owner parcel, capital range, CCA correspondence and professional scopes. Prepare buyer and site diligence.

JAN-JUN 2027

Earn the right to close

Resolve applicant route, county interpretation, net pad and full services. Obtain demand and financing evidence; negotiate conditional site control if feasible.

JUL 2027

Conditional land closing

Close only if the agreed license, local, title, site, utility and funding conditions are satisfied. Target is not a completed transaction.

AUG 2027-JUL 2028

Modeled construction

Twelve-month construction allowance after permissions, complete bids and funded approvals. Actual schedule may differ.

AUG-OCT 2028

Modeled commissioning

Staff readiness, building-system checks, controlled records, external lab setup and authority to operate.

NOV 2028 ONWARD

Modeled production ramp

First production assumption and four-month ramp. Validate commercial timing and actual release/collection readiness.

AC01 and FM01 Inputs/Monthly. All project dates are conditional targets/assumptions. CCA retail opening July 1, 2027 does not mean Battlefield Organics is licensed or operating then.

The next 90 days should change the evidence.

Proposed October-December 2026 development priorities, with accountable workstreams.

Workstream	Concrete deliverable	Proposed lead
Owner decisions	Capital beyond land; ownership objectives; facility-method brief; existing land records.	Owner
Legal / license	Written applicant pathway, premises conditions and local land-use questions.	Counsel / compliance
Site and building	Owner-site comparison; Johnson/Sommerfield feasibility; net pad and preliminary full-load layout.	Civil / architect
Commercial	Owner-authorized qualification/interview program and evidence by grade, price, volume and terms.	Sales / finance
Cost and tax	Complete scope/bid request; support fit-out and services; cannabis tax/entity model revision.	Estimator / CPA
Operating readiness	Leadership requirements, document owners, quality-validation plan and staged staffing.	Operations / quality

A tangible development platform already exists

The controlled project contains a master business plan, two editable workbooks, eight property dossiers, a support program, 20 draft SOPs, 20 record specifications, seven quality work items and a 37-action register. The next step is to replace uncertain inputs with retained external evidence and named accountable people.

AR01/QP01/DC01 dated October 3, 2026. Workstream owners are proposed roles, not appointments or engagements. External contact and spending remain subject to owner authorization.

Build a Virginia supplier people can trust.

The proposition combines a memorable identity, a defined campus and evidence-led execution.

Battlefield Organics is a proposal for a serious operating company: clear customer specifications, accountable records, separate quality release and finance decisions, and investment gates that keep the land purchase tied to the business case.

\$21.22M

BASE HORIZON FUNDING ESTIMATE

\$28.49M

DOWNSIDE HORIZON FUNDING ESTIMATE

The immediate partnership opportunity

Define and fund a bounded feasibility phase. Bring together the owner, qualified legal and tax advisers, facility and civil professionals, operating leadership and commercial evidence. Advance to land and construction commitments only when the complete case can withstand review.

The vision is ambitious.
The commitments should be earned.

No funding terms, valuation, investor return, secured license, confirmed buyer demand or approved site are offered in this owner review draft. The next capital decision requires a revised complete budget and actual evidence.

A persuasive plan must be traceable.

Public legal sources were rechecked October 3, 2026; supporting project research is retained in the dated source register.

Virginia market timeline

Agency milestones; not an applicant award.

Cultivation ceiling and greenhouse framework

Tier V canopy and statutory conditions.

Local requirements

State framework retains local requirements.

Independent testing / interests

See also section 4.1-807; internal QA is a separate scope.

Soil-health principles

General cropland evidence, not a validated cannabis medium.

Compost characterization

See SR01 for UMN, NC State and Virginia Tech sources.

Financial and property basis

Financial figures are read from the controlled FINANCIAL_MODEL.xlsx base caches and its retained case-test results. The \$1,000/lb base price, output, sell-through, wages, build rates and services are low-confidence planning assumptions. Cash is before debt and capital return; simplified tax reserves assume a hypothetical C corporation and conservative adult-use treatment. Entity, inventory tax accounting, depreciation, NOLs and payment timing require a cannabis CPA.

Property facts are dated advertisements and GIS research in LP01/LS01/SOURCES.md, not title, surveys, seller confirmations or cannabis approval. Prices/availability, full-boundary zoning, net usable ground and actual capacity need reconfirmation. No certified organic claim, product test results or operating performance is represented.

Presentation companions: BP01 v4, MS01, MG01, SP01, FD01, SR01, QP01, FR01, FP01, ST01, AR01 and AC01. The illustrated plan is a planning narrative, not a securities offering or investment valuation.

Concept art, clearly identified.

Original AI-generated images created with the built-in image-generation tool for this business-plan presentation.

Connected Virginia campus

Saved asset: output/images/battlefield_organics_campus_concept.png

Final prompt: Use case: stylized-concept. Asset type: full-page architectural hero illustration for a serious illustrated business plan for Battlefield Organics, a proposed licensed Virginia agricultural cultivation and wholesale venture. Primary request: premium photorealistic architectural visualization of a conceptual connected two-building agricultural campus in rural central Virginia. Scene: rolling Virginia countryside, distant wooded hills, early morning soft light, tidy service road and modest planting around secure facilities. Subject: one large modern glass agricultural greenhouse connected by a short enclosed link to an approximately equal-footprint simple sophisticated charcoal and pale concrete support building; realistic understated industrial architecture, rooftop building services kept modest; site access and small parking area. No interior growing detail, no people, no crop instructions. Composition: elevated three-quarter aerial looking across both buildings; a dramatic expansive landscape sky and dark wooded margins suitable for a portrait magazine cover crop, buildings in middle/lower center, premium commercial architecture photography texture. Color palette: deep forest green, soft sage, charcoal, warm stone, sunlit natural green. Constraints: conceptual unbuilt campus, not any real parcel, no text, logos, seals, cannabis products, certification marks, signage, watermarks, or charts. No exaggerated luxury mansion, no fake engineering labels. Intended image will be explicitly labeled an AI-generated conceptual visualization.

Living soil editorial concept

Saved asset: output/images/battlefield_organics_living_soil_concept.png

Final prompt: Use case: editorial concept illustration for Battlefield Organics business plan. Create a premium photographic still life of living soil biology: rich dark compost soil in a shallow cross section with a single small generic green seedling, delicate visible roots, one realistic earthworm within the soil, a small handful of crumbly mature compost and subtle dry leaf fragments on the surface. Soil is the main subject, roots and worm are realistic not fantastical or glowing. Soft window light, restrained natural textures, forest green and warm brown palette, dark neutral background, sophisticated agriculture magazine photography, landscape composition with generous negative space at top right. No cannabis leaf, no labels, no text, no bottles, no feeding recipe, no certification seal, no claims. This is an illustrative image, not a scientific measurement or an image of an operating business.

These illustrations portray an unbuilt concept and an illustrative soil scene. They are not property photographs, engineering drawings, actual samples, certification evidence or proof of physical capacity. Charts, tables, site relationships and corridor diagrams were drawn as vector graphics from the project records; the corridor diagram is schematic.